Nucleophilic Replacement in Decafluoroanthracene

James Burdon,* Ann C. Childs, Ian W. Parsons, and John Colin Tatlow Chemistry Department, The University, P.O. Box 363, Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.

Decafluoroanthracene undergoes replacement of the 2-fluorine when treated with sodium methoxide or with dimethylamine, and this is not in accord with the amplified I_{π} -repulsion theory which requires attack at the 9-position; there is now no theory which rationalizes the position of nucleophilic replacement in all polyfluoro-aromatic compounds.

Although decafluoroanthracene was first prepared¹ almost 20 years ago, its nucleophilic replacement reactions have never been described and it is the simplest perfluoro-polynuclear aromatic compound for which this is so. Treatment of the anthracene (prepared in 20—25% overall yield from tetrachlorophthalic anhydride by a modification of a method due to Russian workers²) with sodium methoxide (1 mol. equiv.) in methanol, or with dimethylamine in ether, gave crude products each of which contained only a single (according to ¹ºF n.m.r.) mono-fluorine replacement product together with smaller amounts of di-fluorine replacement product and starting material.

The structures of the mono-replacement products (satisfactory elemental analyses have been obtained) were deduced from their ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra. Decafluoroanthracene itself shows three ¹⁹F signals [(in p.p.m. upfield from CFCl₃): 144.1 (1,4,5,8-F); 153.8 (2,3,6,7-F); 122.5 (9,10-F); intensity ratios 4:4:2] with those from the (1,4,5,8) and (9,10) fluorine atoms showing a strong and typical³⁻⁵ peri-coupling (*J ca.* 75 Hz). In the nonafluoromonomethoxyanthracene there were peaks at 119.4 (2F, 9-, 10-F), 136.6 (1F, 1-F), 141.4—143.5 (3F, 4-, 5-, 8-F), 143.6 (1F, 3-F), and 150.9 (2F, 6-, 7-F); the downfield shift of about 5-7 p.p.m. for 1-F and 3-F is usual⁴⁻⁶ for the fluorine atoms *ortho* to a methoxy-group when this has replaced

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i, KF, 300 °C, 3 h; ii, SF₄,HF, 360 °C, 24 h; iii, Zn, 280 °C, 3 h; iv, MeO⁻ or Me₂NH.

fluorine. Furthermore, the large *peri*-couplings were all still discernible although somewhat obscured; this shows that the 1,4,5,8,9, and 10 fluorine atoms were still present. The ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectrum of the dimethylaminononafluoroanthracene showed a similar pattern.

It is clear then that nucleophilic replacement in decafluoroanthracene takes place mainly or entirely in the 2-position (Scheme 1). This is not in accord with the amplified I_{π^-} repulsion theory which predicts attack at the 9-position. This theory^{4,5,7} is based on the destabilization by a bonded fluorine of a negative charge on a carbon atom when that charge is

part of a π -system; the degree of destabilization is calculated from HOMO Hückel charge densities in Wheland intermediates. This procedure correctly gives the position of nucleophilic attack on perfluoro-naphthalene, ⁷-phenanthrene, ⁷-acenaphthylene, ⁷-pyrene, ⁴ and -fluoroanthene; ⁵ it does not do so for octafluorobiphenylene but strain provides an obvious reason why it does not here. ⁷

As the decafluoroanthracene results outlined here cannot be reconciled with the amplified I_{π} -repulsion theory and since neither of the other theories^{8,9} in the literature can really be applied to perfluoropolynuclear aromatic compounds, there is now no all-embracing theory of nucleophilic attack on polyfluoro-aromatic compounds. (The original I_{π} theory¹⁰ correctly predicts 2-attack on decafluoroanthracene, but it is not satisfactory for some of the compounds just listed.)

Received, 8th February 1982; Com. 126

References

- 1 D. Harrison, R. Stephens, and J. C. Tatlow, *Tetrahedron*, 1963, 19, 1893.
- 2 G. G. Yakobson, V. V. Odinokov, and N. N. Vorozhtsov, Tetrahedron Lett., 1965, 4473; B. G. Oksenenko, V. D. Shteingarts, and G. G. Yakobson, Zh. Org. Khim., 1971, 7, 745
- 3 D. Price, H. Suschitzky, and J. I. Hollies, J. Chem. Soc. C, 1969, 1927; R. A. Fletton, R. D. Lapper, and L. F. Thomas, Chem. Commun., 1969, 1049.
- 4 J. Burdon, I. W. Parsons, and H. S. Gill, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1979, 1351.
- 5 J. Burdon, H. S. Gill, I. W. Parsons, and J. C. Tatlow, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1980, 1726.
- 6 R. D. Chambers, J. A. Cunningham, and D. J. Spring, Tetrahedron, 1968, 24, 3997.
- 7 J. Burdon and I. W. Parsons, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 7445.
- 8 R. D. Chambers, D. Close, and D. L. H. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans.* 2, 1980, 778, and papers cited therein.
- R. Bolton and J. P. B. Sandall, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1976, 1541.
- 10 J. Burdon, Tetrahedron, 1965, 21, 3373.